



Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

First published 2014
Revised 2015, 2016, 2018, 2020

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Introduction

This document brings together information from a number of people with decades of experience dealing with Rail Trail proposals and developments throughout Australia. The aim is to help everyone to better understand the legitimate concerns of all community members, address their questions and reassure them that there are solutions to the many issues that concern adjoining land holders and trail users.

The whole community has a stake in any proposal, especially those who live, work, are responsible for or visit lands adjacent to or dissected by the proposed route. Others will also have a strong interest in Rail Trails and the impact it may have on their businesses, employment, the potential social, recreational and ecological impacts and implications for the future of their community.

from 'SECTION 6 – LANDOWNER ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS' of *"Busselton to Flinders Bay Rail Trail Trail Development Plan"* by *Transplan Pty Ltd*

'Adjacent landowners are traditionally – and understandably – apprehensive about trails close to their properties. It is important that these concerns are addressed in the planning phase before the trail conversion takes place. Many landowners resent having things imposed on them, or feeling as if they have no say in what is happening around them. Many landowners are resistant to change of any sort, let alone one they perceive will have detrimental impacts on their lifestyle as well as on their farming operations.'

It needs to be appreciated that opposition will never completely cease. Conversely, adjacent landowners who understand and support the reasons behind a trail, and who see that the trail is going to be well organised and efficiently managed, will prove to be extremely valuable partners in years to come. Indeed, some of them will take advantage of business opportunities offered by the rail trail.'

The following information has been compiled from several sources and we extend our sincere thanks to

* **Mike Maher and Transplan Pty Ltd** for permission to modify their 'SECTION 6 – LANDOWNER ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS' of *"Busselton to Flinders Bay Rail Trail Trail Development Plan"* by *Transplan Pty Ltd*

^ Guyra Argus Friday August 15, 2014 quoting Councillor Hietbrink (modified)

** The Rail Trails for NSW team

" ... Adjacent landowners are understandably ... apprehensive about trails ...

It is important that these concerns are addressed in the planning phase before the trail conversion takes place. "

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<i>Impact / Issue / Question</i>	<i>Solutions successfully used elsewhere / Comments from experience elsewhere</i>
Why a Rail Trail? **	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In NSW, disused rail lines are a publicly owned resource that the public should be able to benefit from. They cost the State Government money to keep safe and yet the public can't use or benefit from them. ○ Tourism and recreational activities are growing business sectors. Rail Trails unlock the potential for a simple, attractive resource that will attract visitors and that locals can also use. ○ For many people, especially from large towns and cities, they can be the most accessible way to experience the countryside without being on a road or having to hike along rough tracks in the bush. ○ Rail trails have been in operation in the USA for many decades and in Victoria for over 20 years, All states of Australia except NSW have them and are building more. NZ has identified them as an important part of their national Tourism strategy.
Who will use them? **	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Locals, Grey Nomads, Families, international tourists, interstate tourists, intrastate tourists, walking clubs, bird watchers, wheelchair users, community groups, recreational cycling clubs, schools, power walking parents with strollers, long distance cycling holiday makers, Annual community and charity events. Walkers, runners and if the route is suitable, even horse riders. ○ The highest numbers of users are usually cyclists; “Bike riding is growing in popularity in NSW, with around 1.09 million people on their bikes in a typical week.” From http://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/cycling April 14, 2014 From http://www.bts.nsw.gov.au/Statistics/cycling/default.aspx NSW Bureau of Transport statistics* for Sydney, Illawarra and Newcastle..
Will rail trail users have to pay to use the trail? ^	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Charging individual users at time of entry on the rail trail is unlikely to be economic. ○ A rail trail has to strike a balance between attracting users who will spend their money in the local town, and raising sufficient income to maintain the rail trail. ○ Other possible income sources include advertising and sponsorship signs along the trail, maybe charges to businesses providing for-profit guiding services, horse riding tours, rail bike hire etc..
Can the railway be used again in the future? ^	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The creation of a rail trail does not prevent the reopening of a rail line at any time in the future. ○ The degree of deterioration of sleepers and rail and the poor state of many bridges along a track means that often complete replacement would be necessary to restore the track for trains. ○ Also, many older lines have sharp corners and steeper grades that are unsuitable or uneconomic for modern freight or passenger trains. Restoring a train service might require resumptions of private property to allow shallower bends and grades on the route.
How will the Rail Trail affect the running of a tourist railway, like Trikes or a Historical Train? ^	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Rail Trails and Historical railways work well together, with one attracting users for the other. ○ The retention and restoration of track can be included. A Rail Trail can be designed to run parallel to the track up to the end of the Historical rail service. In this way businesses and cafes can benefit from the patronage of both Rail Trail users and visitors to the Historical railway.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>What are the benefits of a Rail Trail? **</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The rail corridor remains in public ownership. ○ The local economy is stimulated both during building and afterwards, attracting visitors and giving them a reason to extend their stay in the district. ○ The local community has a resource generating recreational, business and health benefits ○ The cost of developing a rail trail is very low when compared with road building, creating a brand new path or operating a train service ○ The rail trail can one day be moved aside to let train services be restored if they become viable again.
<p>Funding for construction *</p> <p>“Who is going to pay for trail project?” How will it affect rates?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Entire construction costs for trails are rarely born by local government, therefore there is minimal impact on ratepayers (even though ratepayers benefit directly from trails and indirectly by visitor spending). ○ Many Federal and State Government funding programs are already available for tourism/recreation projects such as trails. Numerous trails around Australia have been funded by major grants worth hundreds of thousands of dollars. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For example, the Adelaide Hills Rail Trail (South Australia) received State Government funding of \$1 million for development of Stage 1. Further funding is expected for future stages. The Port Fairy-Warrnambool Rail Trail (in Victoria) has received close to \$2 million in State and Federal Government funding. The Brisbane Valley Rail Trail (Qld) has received \$3.8 million in State Government funding. ○ Major companies, such as mining companies, have contributed to trail projects. For example, BHP Billiton contributed \$200,000 towards the Camperdown-Timboon Rail Trail in Victoria. ○ Local community volunteers and local sponsorships ○ Other low cost resources, including Federal Youth employment and training schemes and in some cases, low risk prison crews have been brought into trail construction and maintenance projects.
<p>Who pays for the removal of the rails? Who benefits from the sale of the materials? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In other States where rail trails have been developed, the cost of removal of the rail is met by contractors who recover their costs by selling the steel and sleepers and give a proportion of the returns from sales to the local organisation establishing the rail trail. This model could be followed in NSW if the Minister supports the concept. ○ Local contractors and suppliers benefit from the building of the rail trail. ○ Community members can benefit by having first option to purchase and use the sleepers and rail for local projects.
<p>Who pays for drainage and resurfacing? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The organisation establishing the rail trail uses the funds it receives from the steel and sleeper sales to pay local contractors and suppliers to do the resurfacing. ○ If sales are not sufficient the organisation establishing the rail trail can apply for funding from NSW and Federal Government tourism and Regional development grants. ○ Local volunteers and ‘Friends of’ groups can donate labour, materials and raise more funds via community events and sponsorships.
<p>Will there be effects on ratepayers? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A Rail Trail should not be a financial burden to ratepayers. Councils may provide some administrative support to get a project underway and can benefit by being paid to maintain the Rail Trail after it is built. ○ The State Government could redirect payments from the current maintenance contractor should maintenance be undertaken by the Local Government..

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>Ongoing maintenance costs. *</p> <p>Who will pay, what effect will it have on rates?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Preparation of a regularly reviewed Trail Management Plan covering all maintenance issues (including fencing) prepared in advance of construction is critical. The plan will provide a clear definition of who is responsible for what. ○ Proper design and construction of the rail trail at the outset will minimise ongoing maintenance costs. ○ Focus of maintenance is erosion, vegetation regrowth, weed control, signage and structures. ○ Needs a clear definition of who is responsible for what. ○ Division of maintenance into regular inspections and simple repairs and once/twice yearly programs undertaking larger jobs such as signage repairs, culvert cleaning or vegetation control. ○ Hazard inspection program (to limit liability and to define maintenance activities). ○ The State Government could engage the Local Government to undertake or oversee maintenance. ○ Volunteers and community groups have undertaken portions of maintenance on some interstate rail trails.. ○ The NSW Govt currently pays an engineering company to keep disused lines safe. Negotiations can be undertaken to ensure the pro-rata maintenance funding remains with the Rail Trail.
<p>What about public liability? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A rail trail agreement between the State Government and a community organisation will require the organisation to carry public liability insurance.
<p>Liability *</p> <p>–both on-trail and when people stray off-trail</p> <p>Increased insurance risks and consequent increase in premiums?</p> <p>Both public liability and general insurance (upgraded fire insurance and theft)?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Rail Trail management body takes responsibility along the full length of the trail regardless of ownership. ○ Farmers do not carry any additional liability. ○ In recent years public liability has become a major issue right across the community. Trails are not immune ○ Primary project partners must take responsibility and ensure that their role is clear and unambiguous. ○ Effective signposting at trailheads and access points indicating trail regulations and trail use rules and user responsibilities. ○ In respect of farmers' general insurance, this has not been an issue in other rail-trails. Fire management plans address the possible fire risk increase, while reports of theft of property have been virtually non-existent (as noted elsewhere). ○ Courts are increasingly ruling that people are responsible for their own actions, marking a different emphasis to that which occurred in the late 1990s/early 2000s when managing authorities were held responsible for inappropriate behaviour.
<p>Land value *</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In Australia, we are not aware of any documented evidence to suggest property values decrease. ○ What empirical evidence exists comes from the USA. The evidence is that rail trails positively add value to properties along their route. Research and anecdotal evidence suggests conversion of rail trails tends to either have a positive impact or a neutral impact on land values. <p style="margin-left: 20px;">It is positive where land use is changing to more intensive uses (such as from rural production to rural living/rural residential). Single family residential property values along the Little Miami Scenic Trail in Ohio) were positively impacted by proximity to the trail. Properties along the Minuteman Bikeway and Nashua River Rail Trail (Massachusetts) sell for a higher proportion of the asking price and in about half the time that it took for houses in the general inventory. Properties near, but not immediately adjacent to the Burke Gilman Trail (Seattle) sold for an average premium of 6% while those immediately next to the trail sold for a minimal premium (around 0.5%). Neutral-to-positive expectations for property values were held by 87% of adjacent neighbours to the Luce Line Trail (Minnesota). In the same 1988 study, 56% of farm neighbours held that same view, and 61% of suburban neighbours.</p>

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>Impacts on farmers' lifestyles and incomes *</p> <p><i>Uncertainty in both the short-term (until a decision is made) or the long-term (from rail-trail operations)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Any change is difficult and causes stress for many people, especially where it is a change to the way people have operated their businesses and lifestyles for many years. ○ One way to address the concerns of landholders is a progressive staging of the project so that landholders and the responsible committee can see how sections work, what problems and issues arise and then react accordingly in subsequent stages. (These concerns may be felt differently by different people in different parts of the corridor). ○ All public infrastructure projects create stress and concerns for those who will be negatively affected or perceive they will be negatively affected. ○ The experience in rail-trail projects elsewhere is that the problems adjoining landholders believe will occur, do not occur. Concerns are managed primarily by ongoing consultation and good design.
<p>Privacy for adjoining landowners *</p> <p><i>Often residences have been constructed in close proximity to the railway corridor. Landowners living near to or alongside the proposed rail-trail anticipate that noise and reduction of privacy will occur.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Design solutions are possible and have been used to good effect on other rail trail projects. Fencing and security screening are the obvious methods. ○ Re-routing the trail off the formation away from the affected residence onto an adjacent road reserve or elsewhere in the rail corridor. ○ Substantial additional vegetation planting to provide a visual barrier between the trail and the residence (while minimising 'hiding' places). ○ Installation of screen fencing/planting to obscure views of houses from the trail. Subject to consultation with individual landowners.
<p>Fencing *</p> <p>Farmers often believe the project means they pay for additional fencing.</p> <p>If currently unfenced, maybe farmers have adapted practices to suit – eg moving livestock and machinery, developing watering points on both sides etc.</p> <p>Fencing will cause problems and not fencing might create havoc with livestock/trail user interactions, liability etc.</p> <p>Farmers fear time consumed in checking gates regularly after trail users pass through.</p> <p>Extra fencing will require maintenance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fencing may be appropriate in some places and not in other – depends on a number of factors. Consultation with each adjoining landowner is required. For example, fencing through National Parks and Nature Reserves may not be needed or appropriate. ○ When fencing is required, livestock crossing points may be necessary to allow movement between paddocks or part-paddocks. Livestock quickly get used to crossing at certain points. A design using a stock grid is recommended (there are proven cycle-friendly grids designs available). ○ The cost of fencing where required, will be a component of the project budget. Estimates of costs must make an allowance for fencing (and surveying of property boundaries where fencing is required to be reinstated). ○ Vegetation lines may also act as “visual” fences if appropriate. ○ A suggestion from several farmers on other rail-trail projects in Australia is for the rail-trail project to supply the materials needed for re-fencing, and for the adjoining landowners to install the fences themselves with the materials supplied to them. This however is not the only way forward. It is recognised that some farmers may want the corridor fenced and some may not want it fenced. ○ Management gates on boundary fences and management access gates and chicanes at road crossings points will assist in managing straying stock (and unauthorised trail users). ○ Stock grids can be installed across the rail trail corridor itself, leaving an open corridor between paddocks (ie. across the rail trail corridor) completely unfenced, enabling stock to move freely to watering points. Different stock crossing will be appropriate in different circumstances.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>Unauthorised trail users *</p> <p>Esp. motor bikes and possibly horse use.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Prohibit motor vehicle and motor bike use where possible through motor vehicle exclusion barriers and effective signage at each road crossing. ○ Installation of management gates and/or chicanes at all road crossings is recommended, as they will effectively prevent access to the trail by unauthorised users. On the Lilydale to Warburton Rail Trail, as with other rail trails in Victoria, a standard gate configuration has been designed for use at all road crossings and trailheads. It allows unimpeded access by walkers, cyclists, people in wheelchairs, etc. Motor bikes find it difficult to squeeze past the gate posts of the narrow chicane (maze). Access by authorised vehicles, such as management vehicles, adjoining landowners (where needed) and emergency vehicles is gained through an adjoining (locked) gate. ○ Encourage reporting of vehicle/bike registration numbers of illegal users. Experience on the Murray to the Mountains trail was that motor bikes tended to use the same sections at the same time – enforcement was therefore relatively easy. ○ Installation of barrier gates is recommended at the commencement of the project.
<p>Litter</p> <p>and toilet waste</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It has not been a problem elsewhere. The Lilydale to Warburton Rail Trail (Victoria) is kept spotless, with little or no visible signs of litter. The Gippsland Plains Rail Trail was involved with Clean Up Australia Day, but their involvement was curtailed because they effectively had nothing to do. There was no litter to clean up. The Clare Valley Riesling Trail (in SA) is also litter-free. ○ Thoughtful placement of rubbish bins at (some) trailheads. ○ Regular maintenance patrols by council staff or volunteers or the trail manager. ○ Installation of toilets. The accepted distance between toilets is 25-30 kilometres (recognising that users are mostly cyclists). However, as walkers will also use the trail, locations can be selected for the installation of toilets, such as at proposed trailheads. ○ Include “Leave No Trace” principles in Code of Conduct signage at trailheads and in rail trail literature.
<p>Interactions between nervous livestock and trail users including dogs. *</p> <p><i>Concern at unrestrained dogs causing difficulties for livestock.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On other trails, dogs are usually either banned altogether or trail users are required by regulation to keep their dogs on a lead at all times. On some trails, those sections that pass through a town, or are on the outskirts of a town, are the only areas where dogs are permitted (on the proviso that they be kept on leads). The Management Committee will need to determine a policy governing dogs on this rail trail. ○ Ongoing monitoring of the policy on dogs should occur and modifications enacted if issues arise. ○ If sections of a rail trail are declared ‘dog free’, Council’s ranger could issue infringement notices and the offender could be fined. ○ For interaction between people and livestock, appropriate information will discourage people from going off the trails onto farm property and thus placing themselves in close proximity to livestock.
<p>Crime – *</p> <p>Trespassing, vandalism and theft</p> <p><i>especially where the railway corridor is remote from farm buildings and public roads.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Various studies have concluded rail trails do not generate crime. ○ Research and anecdotal evidence suggests conversion of rail trails tends to reduce crime by cleaning up the landscape and attracting people who use the trail for legitimate reasons (recreation and transport). (Source: Rail-Trails and Safe Communities – The Experience on 372 Trails by Tammy Trace & Hugh Morris, Rails to Trails Conservancy, USA January 1998) ○ The manager of the Murray to the Mountains Rail Trail (Victoria) has received no reports of trespassing, theft or vandalism since establishment of the trail, now in excess of ten years. (personal comments) ○ The Collie to Darkan Rail Trail (Western Australia) has had no incidents of crime. This rail trail has been operating in excess of ten years. (personal comments)

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Clare Valley (South Australia) Riesling Trail has had 2 incidents along the trail in over 15 years of operation (one of these, a burglary, would have occurred regardless of whether the trail existed at the rear of the property. The other, an incident involving an unrestrained dog attacking stock in an adjoining paddock, is one which can be avoided by trail users following trail rules). (personal comments) ○ The Linville-Blackbutt Rail Trail (in South East Queensland) had 2 incidents with trail bike access over a five year period, but these were easily dealt with by the local police. (personal comments) ○ The Rails to Trails Conservancy work in the USA includes dozens of testimonials from law enforcement officers in a number of jurisdictions confirming that the expected/perceived crimes simply do not occur. <p>Crime prevention</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Designs to minimise theft include installation of security (and additional) fencing and planting. The installation of management access gates and chicanes for trail user access will prevent unauthorised users from attaining access to the trail. ○ Trail design can eliminate overgrown plants and tall shrubs that minimise hiding places, creates long sight lines. ○ Security lighting at selected trailheads and parking areas adds security. ○ Surveillance cameras at points where key assets are placed or near certain access points. ○ Emergency phone boxes (in towns) and emergency vehicle access helps increase user security. ○ Keeping trail corridors clean and well maintained increases sense of community ownership and ‘passive surveillance’ reducing minor crime such as litter, graffiti and vandalism. ○ Plantings of tree-lined corridors along parts deemed ‘vulnerable’ by adjoining landowners could also provide a way of reminding trail users to stay on the trail – these provide a form of visual fence. ○ Many trails have a signposted Code of Conduct as a means of reinforcing what is expected of trail users and highlighting inappropriate behaviour. ○ Prohibiting motor vehicle use (by regulation and design) reduces property crime. Locked management access gates are a proven method of restricting access on to a trail. ○ Volunteer or professional trail patrols ranging from informal monthly clean-ups and maintenance crews to daily patrols. The Murray to the Mountains Trail had a full-time trail manager, part of whose responsibilities include a daily traverse along the trail on a 4-wheel motor bike.
<p>Responsibility for policing *</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Rail trails do not attract undesirable people. Adjoining landowners need not be concerned about the typical rail trail users as they do not cause trouble. They are using the trail for a relaxing and enjoyable outing in an attractive environment, free of motor vehicles. ○ Volunteer or professional trail patrols ranging from informal monthly clean-ups and maintenance crews to daily patrols. ○ Preparation of a regularly reviewed Trail Management Plan contains a clear definition of who is responsible for what. ○ Police and/or Council ranger patrols (including on bikes); or by trail manager on regular patrols.
<p>What about grazing lease holders? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The continuation of grazing either side of the line is valuable and sometimes essential for the success and tourism value of a rail trail. Grazing aids weed control and fire hazard reduction. ○ Any agreement between the State Government and a local rail trail organisation will have to contain conditions to protect both grazing leaseholders and recreational users.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>Loss of access to grazing paddocks *</p> <p><i>landholders might use the corridor to move cattle between one paddock and another and along the corridor between paddocks. A trail may interfere with this</i></p> <p><i>also concern about gates being left open</i></p> <p><i>Access to watering points needs to be maintained.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ For every existing agricultural use, there is likely to be a way to enable the trail to proceed and the farming practice to continue. ○ Use of 'stock crossings' (a gating system) that can be closed on either the rail corridor (to allow livestock to be moved across the corridor) or on the paddocks when the livestock are in one or other paddocks. This also reduces the human/cattle interaction. ○ There may be other farming practices, such as usage of the railway embankment as an access driveway and tracks for tractor movements, which could continue unhindered by the development of the proposed rail trail. ○ The overall width for the trail needs to be sufficient, usually in the order of 10 metres. On some rail trail projects 5-10 metres either side of the original formation (containing the railway embankment and/or cuttings) is often regarded as 'surplus to requirements'. The 'spare' metres either side of the rail trail corridor can be re-fenced and be leased to the adjoining landowners – for grazing or machinery turn-around. An annual fee could be directed into trail maintenance. The land leased to the adjoining landowners would then be managed as part of the farm. ○ Amendments to local by-laws may be required to enable leasing of parts of corridor to adjoining landowners.
<p>What about fire risks? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If the corridor is kept well grazed the fire risk is unlikely to be any greater than in public parks or showgrounds.
<p>Threat of fire *</p> <p><i>fires spreading unimpeded along the corridor</i></p> <p><i>additional fire protection will be required</i></p> <p><i>poor access for emergency vehicles,</i></p> <p><i>lack of obvious refuge areas and water points (in case of fire).</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Development of an effective fire management plan in close consultation with the local volunteer bush fire brigades, RFS and Local Government are required. ○ Areas of the trail deemed high fire risk can have more active management controls including re-construction of bridges (where required) to carry 13.5+ tonne fire tenders. New bridges should be designed to carry fire tenders where required. ○ Trail closure during periods of fire bans – as occurs on other tracks in high fire areas. The Hume and Hovell Track (in southern NSW) is one example of the use of specific closures. Trails in fire-prone areas can be closed for the duration of the high fire risk season. ○ Clearly signposted refuge spots (directional, "advance notice – fire refuge spot 500 metres ahead" – and at-site signs) can be constructed at regular intervals depending on fire risk "zone". ○ Smoking can be prohibited on the trail. Councils can declare the public area a smoke-free zone, just as it can with other public areas. ○ Missing bridges can be rebuilt, enabling all trail users (and emergency vehicles) access across creeks, rivers and wet areas. ○ The removal of fences that have been put across the railway corridor. Their removal will result in unimpeded access along the corridor for emergency vehicles. ○ The management of grasses along the corridor (contributing to fire risk) is one that will be overseen by a Management Committee appointed for such decisions. The choices will be to continue to allow grazing by sheep and cattle where appropriate, or to slash the grasses at regular intervals, or to spray when and where appropriate. ○ Fire retardant vegetation should be used when revegetation occurs. ○ Generally, the development of the rail trail will create a situation in which fire services will be better able to deal with any emergency that arises along the railway corridor. It will be imperative that all emergency services have access to all padlocks on all gates along the rail trail and locks should be keyed alike.
<p>What about weed control? ^</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Existing leases already require lessees to control weeds and pest animals. A rail trail agreement will require a shared weed and pest control arrangement between grazing leaseholders and the rail trail organisation. This arrangement will be negotiated before the agreement goes before the Minister.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>Weeds. *</p> <p><i>who will remove them and who will keep them under control.</i></p> <p><i>Will they be moved (unwittingly) by trail users?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Weed management programs are already conducted by local governments. Extension into the rail trail corridor would be appropriate. ○ The management of grasses and weeds along the corridor is one that could be overseen by a Management Committee appointed for such decisions. The choices will be to continue to allow grazing by sheep and cattle where appropriate, or to slash the grasses at regular intervals, or to spray when and where appropriate. ○ Parts of the corridors could be leased to adjoining landholders to allow grazing. ○ Grazing of the rail trail corridor (or sections of the rail trail) could be allowed at regular intervals (overnight – controlled with electric fences, some weekdays when activity is quiet, some times of the year – either during low levels of activity or high growth periods). ○ Preparation of a regularly reviewed Trail Management Plan covering all maintenance issues prepared in advance of construction. ○ Focus of maintenance – erosion, vegetation regrowth, weed control and signage damage. ○ Division of maintenance into regular inspections and simple repairs and once/twice yearly programs undertaking larger jobs such as vegetation control.
<p>Farm safety *</p> <p>farms are unsafe work places and people are being invited into such unsafe workplaces.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Good design and appropriate information will discourage people from going off the rail trail onto farm property and thus placing themselves in dangerous work environments or in close proximity to unpredictable livestock. ○ Particular attention to the trail design issues around sites where agricultural buildings are close to the rail trail (some of these solutions are discussed in the section on crime prevention). ○ An allowance for signage, such as “No Trespassing” should be made in the cost estimates. The Management Committee can determine where these can be erected (upon adjoining landowner request).
<p>Risk of livestock disease transfer *</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Wandering livestock should not graze the trail. If any grazing is allowed, it will only be by animals from the farms adjacent to the corridor. A gating system at boundaries should prevent stock moving from one landholding to another.
<p>Chemical-free status of livestock / biosecurity issues *</p> <p>the risk of contamination of livestock and compromised chemical control (not be under the landholder’s control).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The rail trail would be considered in the same way as any public thoroughfare would be Advice obtained by proponents of the Goulburn River Rail Trail (Victoria) from the Department of Primary Industries (Victoria) was that a trail should not jeopardise the landowner’s ability to sign the National Vendors Declaration. ○ Trail users are no different to road users. Farmers have no control over who uses and what is done on adjoining roads. People may trespass onto private land but most are unlikely to cause significant damage. ○ Cars and particularly trucks and tractors moving at speed disperse more dirt from roads and tracks than the collective effort of numerous bikes or pedestrians.. ○ In respect of chemical control of the corridor, two options are available that allow farmers a level of knowledge. The first is that the adjacent landowner sprays the corridor as it runs through their property, reaching an agreement with the Management Committee for suitable recompense. The second option is cooperative flow of information – the trail manager lets adjoining farmers know in advance what they will be spraying and when they will be spraying. The Management Committee will need to decide the best and most appropriate course of action.
<p>Chemical applications *</p> <p><i>impacts on users of chemical spraying with associated spray drift (and possible</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Farmers have the same obligations as any other chemical user in preventing drift and potentially causing damage to adjacent land. ○ Farmers have a duty of care to prevent overspray and warn of spraying in progress (or planned). ○ For other trails, this has not been an issue. On the Lilydale to Warburton Rail Trail, grapes and flower growers

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

<p>exposure to liability)</p>	<p>are in very close proximity to the trail – they are in fact tenants renting rail trail land. Spray drift has not been an issue of concern. The same applies to the Riesling Trail (again, most adjoining land owners are grape growers).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Heavy use of rail trails is usually confined to weekends. Spraying “rosters” agreed to between farmers and a Management Committee could manage spraying and confine it, as much as possible, to weekdays. It is acknowledged that this is not always possible due to nature of ownership, on-site presence of farm owner/manager, and climatic factors; it is one solution. ○ Notifications on-trail literature (permanent and temporary, such as web sites) can inform about spraying and indicate to users what they are likely to encounter at any time on the trail. ○ It is understood that chemicals usually used in spraying are not of such toxicity that incidental exposure for short periods on a one-off or irregular basis (the likelihood of exposure of trail users) will cause any long-term health effects. ○ A well vegetated corridor will minimise spray drift. Revegetation of sections of the corridor is appropriate.
<p>Pest baiting *</p> <p>concerns dogs and children accidentally eating baits and consequent loss of stock and native wildlife</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sections of the trail could be declared “dog-free” (such as areas of agricultural activity and wildlife conservation). Management Committee to make policy. ○ Trail users need to be made aware of agricultural practices – information needs to be included in all material produced for the rail-trail. ○ Regulations require signs to be erected where 1080 baiting is used.
<p>Environmental issues *</p> <p>Who is responsible for environmental effects including construction concerns – noise impacts on wildlife and vegetation destruction on rail formation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A project may provide an opportunity to apply for funding to address any current environmental issue (such as poor drainage and removal of rubbish tips). ○ Environmental issues along the corridor need to be considered in the planning, design and construction of the proposed rail trail. ○ With respect to construction concerns, good trail design and appropriate construction techniques on a site-by-site basis can mitigate environmental concerns. Significant vegetation stands on the boundaries of the formation should be untouched – vegetation growing on the formation needs to be selectively and carefully removed during construction. ○ A <i>Construction Environmental Management Plan</i> and <i>Management and Maintenance Plan</i> or similar will be needed to address these issues.

* Modified version from ‘SECTION 6 – LANDOWNER ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS’ of report “Busseton to Flinders Bay Rail Trail Trail Development Plan” by Transplan Pty Ltd

^ Modified from information quoted in the Guyra Argus Friday August 15, 2014 originally provided by Councillor Hietbrink

** The Rail Trails for NSW team

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Other Useful Resources

Kiwi Chronicles EP 6 - The New Gold Rush <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ob8xn0RWfwg>

How Bicycles Can Save Small Town America - PathLessPedaled.com (*equally applicable to Australia*)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Zfdeo4M4yo&index=3&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j

Family Bike Camping - PathLessPedaled.com https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQEX-sfWeXk&index=1&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j

Goulburn River Valley RT <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QojeqbexPaw>

O'Keefe Rail Trail (VIC) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VqbOjtnCmuk&feature=share>

McLaren Vale Coast to Vines Trail <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AQINqATXfQk>

Bike Overnight - Brisbane Valley Rail Trail https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IEUg_IVUdlc&index=9&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j

Murray to Mountains Rail Trail - Wangaratta to Milawa

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=90x9MMZ3V1c&index=10&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j

Murray to Mountains Rail Trail - Bright to Myrtleford

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w7iw2VSHYmA&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j&index=11

AUSTRALIA - BEECHWORTH TO EVERTON - RAIL TRAIL

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9_JdAzzZnrk&index=8&list=PLjIus5jXA_P1MMIZe8AzYuAT8LXM7_U3j

The people of NSW will use Rail Trails, see ... <http://newcastlecycleways.org.au/on-your-bike/fernleigh-track>

The Fernleigh Track has been a huge success. <http://www.visitlakemac.com.au/accommodation/bookings/fernleigh-track>

The **Otago Central Rail Trail** is a 150-kilometre walking, cycling and horse riding track in the [South Island](#) of [New Zealand](#). The successful cycle trail joined the [New Zealand Cycle Trail](#) umbrella organisation in 2012. The trail runs along the route of the former [Otago Central Railway](#). The trail has become a popular tourist attraction, with **10,000-12,000 users per year as a conservative estimate, and yearly (and ongoing) user increases for 6 out of the last 7 years (as of 2011)**.^{[1][4]}

1. *"Rail trail still proving popular"*. *Otago Daily Times*. 23 May 2011. Retrieved 4 August 2011.

4. *Jump up to:*^a *b* Parker, Tamsyn (23 March 2009). *"Why Key's national bike track could be paved with gold"*. *The New Zealand Herald*. Retrieved 1 November 2011.

Our thanks to Northern Rivers Rail Trail Inc. for the following listing. See <http://www.northernriversrailtrail.org.au/>

Economic Case studies:

<http://www.northernriversrailtrail.org.au/rail-trails-work/economic-benefits/case-study-1-new-zealand-cycle-trails/>

<http://www.northernriversrailtrail.org.au/rail-trails-work/economic-benefits/case-study-2-australian-rail-trails/>

<http://www.northernriversrailtrail.org.au/rail-trails-work/economic-benefits/case-study-3-rail-trails-in-america/>

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Articles: (Courtesy of Northern Rivers Rail Trail Inc. See <http://www.northernriversrailtrail.org.au/>)

Angus & Associates (2013). *Final report: New Zealand Cycle Trail Evaluation – Four Cycle Trail Case Studies*. A report prepared for the Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment, New Zealand.

Baker, T. R. (2001). *A method to assess the potential value of railway corridors as recreation trails: A case study of three Nova Scotia rail-trails*. Masters Dissertation, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada.

Beeton, S. (2003). *An economic analysis of rail trails in Victoria, Australia*. Bendigo: La Trobe University.

Beeton, S. (2006). *Regional communities and cycling: the case of the Murray to the Mountains Rail Trail, Victoria, Australia*. Bendigo: La Trobe University.

Beeton, S. (2006). Sustainable tourism in practice: trails and tourism – critical management issues of multi-use trails. *Tourism and Hospitality Planning and Development*, 3(1), 47-64.

Beeton, S. (2009). *Cycling in Regional Communities: A Longitudinal Study of the Murray to Mountains Rail Trail, Victoria, Australia*. La Trobe University, Australia.

Beeton, S. (2010). Regional community entrepreneurship through tourism: the case of Victoria's rail trails. *International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, 2(1/2), 128-148.

Betz, C. J., Bergstrom, J. C., & Bowker, J.M. (2003). A contingent trip model for estimating rail-trail demand. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 46(1), 79-96.

Bichis-Lupas, M., & Moisey, R. N. (2001). A benefit segmentation of rail-trail users: implications for marketing by local communities. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 19(3), 78-92.

Blackwell, D. (2001). *The community and visitor benefits of the Otago Central Rail Trail*. Paper presented at the NZ Cycling Conference 2001: Transport for Living, Chateau on the Park, Christchurch, New Zealand.

Blackwell, D. (2002). Community and visitor benefits of the Otago Central Rail Trail, New Zealand. Masters Dissertation, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand.

Bowen, D.S. (2009). Building a trail and connecting a community: the establishment of the Dahlgren Railroad Heritage Trail. *Southeastern Geographer*, 49(3), 291-307.

Bowker, J.M., Bergstrom, J. C., & Gill, J. (2007). Estimating the economic value and impacts of recreational trails: a case study of the Virginia Creeper Rail Trail. *Tourism Economics*, 13(2), 241-260.

Bowman, S.A. and Wright, D.C. (2008) Charitable Deductions for Rail-Trail Conversions: Reconciling the Partial Interest Rule and the National Trails System Act. *William & Mary Environmental Law and Policy Review*, 32(1), pp. 581-634.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Brown, S.E. (2008) Bikes, trains and problem frames: Framing the Little River Rail Trail. Unpublished Masters Dissertation in Applied Sciences, Lincoln University.

Bruce Ashley Environmental Consulting (1997). *Cycleways along railway corridors*. Final report to NSW Department of Transport. Sydney: NSW Department of Transport.

Busbee, R. L. (2001). *Maximizing economic benefits from a Rails-to-Trails project in Southern West Virginia – A Case Study of the Greenbrier River Trail*. Huntington: Marshall University.

Center for Research on Economic and Social Policy (2000). *Bicycling and walking in Colorado: Economic impact and household survey results*. A report commissioned by the Colorado Department of Transportation, Bicycle/Pedestrian Program. Denver: University of Colorado.

Central Otago District Council (2009) *Otago Central Rail Trail: User Survey 2008/2009*. Unpublished Report, Alexandra: Central Otago District Council.

Central Otago District Council (2011) *Otago Central Rail Trail: User survey 2010/2011*. Unpublished Report, Alexandra: Central Otago District Council.

Clarke, A. (1996). Beyond recreation: Trails for transportation and livable communities. *Trends*, 33(2), 25-28.

Cope, A. M., Doxford, D., & Hill, T. (1998). Monitoring tourism on the UK's first long-distance cycle route. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 6(3), 210-223.

Cox, Peter. (2012). Strategies promoting cycle tourism in Belgium: Practices and implications. *Tourism Planning & Development*, 9(1), 25-39.

Crompton, John L. (2000). The impact of parks and open space on property values and the property tax base.

Crompton, John L. (2001). Perceptions of how the presence of greenway trails affects the value of proximate properties. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 19(3), 114-132.

Downward, P., & Lumsdon, L. (2001). The development of recreational cycle routes: an evaluation of user needs. *Managing Leisure*, 6(1), 50-60.

Downward, P.L., Lumsdon, L. and Weston, R. (2009) Visitor Expenditure: The Case of Cycle Recreation and Tourism. *Journal of Sport & Tourism*, 14(1), pp. 25-42.

Dowsett, O. (2008). Rural restructuring: a multi-scalar analysis of the Otago Central Rail Trail. Masters Dissertation, Lincoln University, Christchurch, New Zealand.

Dowson, B., & Doxford, D. (1997). Planning for recreational cycling—meeting local demand? *Land Use Policy*, 14(2), 163-165.

Fábos, J.G. (2004). Greenway planning in the United States: its origins and recent case studies. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 68, 321-342.

Faulks, P., Ritchie, B. and Fluker, M. (2007) *Cycle Tourism in Australia: An Investigation into Its Size and Scope*. Gold Coast: Sustainable Tourism Cooperative Research Centre.

Faulks, P., Ritchie, B., Brown, G., & Beeton, S. (2008). *Cycle tourism and South Australia destination marketing*. Gold Coast: CRC for Sustainable Tourism.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

- Fletcher, K. (2006) A trip down memory trail: 20 years of RTC. *Rails to Trails Magazine* Spring, 16-19.
- Frank, L. & Engelke, P. (). *How land use and transportation systems impact public health: a literature review of the relationship between physical activity and built form*. Active Community Environments Working Paper #1.
- Gobster, P. H., & Westphal, L. M. (2004). The human dimension of urban greenways: planning for recreation and related experiences. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 68, 147-165.
- Gobster, P.H. (1995) Perception and Use of a Metropolitan Greenway System for Recreation. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 33(1-3), pp. 401-413.
- Gobster, P.H. (2005). Recreation and leisure research from an active living perspective: Taking a second look at urban trail use data. *Leisure Sciences*, 27(5), 367-383.
- Graham, O. (2004) *Otago Central Rail Trail: From Steam Trains to Pedal Power: The Story of the Otago Central Rail Trail*. Dunedin: Otago Central Rail Trail Trust.
- Hawthorne, T., Krygier, J., & Kwan, M-P. (2008). Mapping ambivalence: Exploring the geographies of community change and rails-to-trails development using photo-based Q method and PPGIS. *Geoforum* 39, 1058-1078.
- Ivy, M.I. & Moore, R.L. (2007) Neighboring Landowner Attitudes Regarding a Proposed Greenway Trail: Assessing Differences Between Adjacent and Nearby Residents. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 25(2), pp. 42-63.
- Jellum, C. and Reis, A. (2008) *Otago Central Rail Trail economic impact and trends survey 2008*[Unpublished Report]. Dunedin: Otago Central Rail Trail Trust.
- Karadeniz, D. (2003). *The impact of the Little Miami Scenic Trail on single family residential property values*. Master of Community Planning dissertation. College of Design, Art, Architecture and Planning, University of Cincinnati, USA.
- Knoch, C. (2011). *Paulinskill Valley Trail 2010 user survey and economic impact analysis*. Camp Hill: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Knoch, C. and Tomes, P.A. (2006) *Pine Creek Rail Trail 2006 User Survey and Economic Impact Analysis*. Washington: Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Knoch, C. and Tomes, P.A. (2008) *Perkiomen Trail 2008 User Survey and Economic Impact Analysis*. Washington: Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Koorey, G. (2001). *National cycle touring routes: some thoughts on where to go from here*. Paper presented at the NZ Cycling Conference 2001: Transport for Living, Chateau on the Park, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Krizek, Kevin J. (2007). *Estimating the economic benefits of bicycling and bicycle facilities: an interpretive review and proposed methods*. In P. Coto-Millan & V. Inglada (Eds.), *Essays on transportation economics* (pp. 219-248). London: Springer publishing.
- Kulczycki, C. (2002). *Perceptions of the Otago Central Rail Trail*. Masters Dissertation, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Lamont, M. (2009). Independent Bicycle Tourism: A Whole Tourism Systems Perspective. *Tourism Analysis*, 14(5), 605-620.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

- Lamont, M., & Buultjens, J. (2011). Putting the brakes on: Impediments to the development of independent cycle tourism in Australia. *Current Issues in Tourism, 14*(1), 57-78.
- Lamont, M., & Causley, K. (2010). Guiding the Way: Exploring cycle tourists' needs and preferences for cycling route maps and signage. *Annals of Leisure Research, 13*(3), 497-522.
- Lumsdon, L. (2000). Investigating the needs of the recreational cyclist: the experience of the Peak District National Park. *Transport Planning Review, 71*(3), 379-389.
- Lumsdon, L. (2000). Transport and Tourism: Cycle Tourism – A Model for Sustainable Development? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 8*(5), 361-377.
- Lumsdon, L., Downward, P. and Cope, A. (2004) Monitoring of Cycle Tourism on Long Distance Trails: The North Sea Cycle Route. *Journal of Transport Geography, 12*, pp. 13-22.
- Lumsdon, L., Weston, R., McGrath, P., Davies, N., Peeters, P., Eljelaar, E., and Piket, P. (2009) *The European Cycle Route Network Eurovelo*. Brussels: European Parliament.
- Maher Brampton Associates (2003). *Oakbank to Mt Pleasant railway corridor future use feasibility study*. Report prepared for the Adelaide Hills Council. Como: Maher Brampton Associates.
- Merom, D., Bauman, A., Vita, P., & Close, G. (2003). An environmental intervention to promote walking and cycling – the impact of a newly constructed Rail Trail in Western Sydney. *Preventive Medicine, 36*(2), 235 – 242.
- Meschik, M. (2012). Sustainable cycle tourism along the Danube Cycle Route in Austria. *Tourism Planning & Development, 9*(1), 41-56.
- Mike Halliburton Associates & Transplan Pty Ltd (2008). *Atherton Tablelands rail trails feasibility study*. Report prepared for Queensland Government.
- Mills, Judy. (1990). Clearing the path for all of us where trains once ran: Utilizing abandoned rail corridors. *Smithsonian, 21*(1), 132-134, 136, 138-140.
- Mitchell Shire (n/d). *Tallarook to Alexandra Rail Trail feasibility study*. Braodford: Mitchell Shire.
- Moore, R. L., & Graefe, A. R. (1994). Attachments to recreation settings: the case of rail-trail users. *Leisure Sciences, 16*(1), 17.
- Moore, R. L., & Ross, T. (1998). Trails and recreational greenways: Corridors of benefits. *Parks and Recreation, 33*(1), 68-79.
- Moore, R. L., & Shafer, C. S. (2001). Introduction to Special Issue Trails and Greenways: Opportunities for planners, managers, and scholars. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration, 19*(3), 1-16.
- Moore, R. L., Gitelson, R. J., & Graefe, A. R. (1994). The economic impact of rail-trails. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration, 12*(2), 63-72.
- Moore, R. L., Graefe, A. R., & Gitelson, R. J. (1994). Living near greenways: Neighboring landowners' experiences with and attitudes toward rail-trails. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration, 12*(1), 79-93.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

- Morpeth, N. (2000). *Diversifying wine tourism products: an evaluation of linkages between wine and cycle tourism*. In: Wine tourism around the world: development, management and markets (pp. 272-282): Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Morris, H., Bridges, J. and Smithers, R. (2000) *Rail with trails: Design, management, and operating characteristics of 61 trails along active rail lines*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Mundet, L., & Coenders, G. (2010). Greenways: a sustainable leisure experience concept for both communities and tourists. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 18(5), 657 – 674.
- Nelson, C., Lynch, J., Vogt, C., & Woud, A. (2002). *Use and users of the Pere Marquette Rail Trail in Midland County Michigan*. East Lansing: Department of Park, Recreation and Tourism Resources, Michigan State University.
- Nelson, C., Vogt, C., Lynch, J., & Stynes, D. (2001). *Rail-trails and special events: Community and economic benefits*. Paper presented at The 2001 Northeast Recreation Research Symposium, Bolton Landing, NY.
- Nicholls, S., & Crompton, J.L. (2005). The impact of greenways on property values: Evidence from Austin, Texas. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 37(3), 321-341.
- Office of Greenways and Trails (1998). *Thinking green: A guide to the benefits and costs of greenways and trails*. Tallahassee: Office of Greenways and Trails
- Otago Central Rail Trail Trust (2005) *The Otago Central Rail Trail means business*. Unpublished report, Dunedin: Otago Central Rail Trail Trust.
- Otago Central Rail Trail Working Group (2011). *Otago Central Rail Trail Long Term Plan*.
- Pollock, N., Chase, L., Ginger, C., & Kolodinsky, J. (2007). *The Northern Forest Canoe Trail: Economic Impacts and Implications for Sustainable community development*. Burlington: University of Vermont.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (1998). *Rail-trails and community sentiment: A study of opposition to rail-trails and strategies for success*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (1998). *Rail-trails and safe communities: The experience on 372 trails*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2000). *Rail-trails and liability: A primer on trail-related liability issues & risk management techniques*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2000). *Rail-with-trails: Design, management and operating characteristics of 61 trails along active railroads*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2001). *Tunnels on trails: A study of 78 tunnels on 36 trails in the United States*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2002). *Trails and greenways: Advancing the smart growth agenda*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2005). *Rail-Trail Maintenance & Operation: Ensuring the future of your trail – a survey of 100 rail-trails*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2006). *Railbanking and rail-trails: a legacy for the future*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Rail-to-Trails Conservancy. (2013). *America's rails-with-trails: A resource for planners, agencies, and advocates on trails along active railroad corridors*. Washington: Rail-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Reis, A., Jellum, C. & Lovelock, B. (2010) *Linking the Taieri Gorge Railway and the Otago Central Rail Trail: A Survey of Users Demands* [Unpublished Report]. Dunedin: Centre for Recreation Research.
- Reis, A.C.; Lovelock, B.; Jellum, C. (2014). Linking tourism products to enhance cycle tourism: the case of the Taieri Gorge Railway and the Otago Central Rail Trail, New Zealand. *Tourism Review International*, 18(1-2): 71-85
- Reis, A.C., & Jellum, C. (2012). Rail trails development: A conceptual model for sustainable tourism. *Tourism Planning and Development*, 9(2), 133-148.
- Reis, A.C.; Jellum, C. (2014). New Zealand rail trails: heritage tourism attractions and rural communities. In Conlin, M. & Bird, G. (Eds.). *Railway Heritage and Tourism: Past, Present and Future*. Bristol: Channel View. pp. 90-104
- Ritchie, B. W., & Hall, C. M. (1999). Bicycle tourism and regional development: A New Zealand case study. *Anatolia*, 10(2), 89-112.
- Ritchie, B. W., Tkaczynski, A., & Faulks, P. (2010). Understanding the motivation and travel behavior of cycle tourists using involvement profiles. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*,
- Ross, N. (1996). Otago Central Rail Trail: Who's using it? Post-graduate Diploma Dissertation, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Runge, C. (2001). *Fox River Trail study*. Brown County: Brown County Planning Commission.
- Ruthven, A. W. (2007). *A citizens guide to rail trail conversion*. Columbia: Palmetto Conservation Foundation.
- Ryder, B. A. (1995). Greenway planning and growth management: partners in conservation? *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 33(1-3), 417-432.
- Searns, R. M. (1995). The evolution of greenways as an adaptive urban landscape form. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 33, 65-80.
- Siderelis, C., & Moore, R. L. (1995). Outdoor recreation net benefits of rail-trails. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 27(4), 344-359.
- Spencer, D. M. (2010). Segmenting special interest visitors to a destination region based on the volume of their expenditures: an application to rail-trail users. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 16(2), 83-95.
- Tiedt, G. F. (1980). From rails to trails and back again: A look at the conversion program. *Parks and Recreation*, 15(4), 43-47, 69, 81.
- Tomes, P.A. & Knoch, C. (2014) *Erie to Pittsburgh Trail 2013 User Survey and Economic Impact Analysis*. Washington: Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.
- Transplan Pty Ltd & Mike Halliburton Associates (2005). *Port Fairy to Dennington rail trails feasibility study*. Report prepared for Moyne Shire.
- Transplan Pty Ltd & Mike Halliburton Associates (2005). *Riesling Trail extension feasibility study*. Report prepared for The Riesling Trail.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Transplan Pty Ltd & Mike Halliburton Associates (2009). *Murrumbidgee Valley Rail Trail feasibility study*. Report prepared for the Riverina Regional Development Board and Gundagai Shire Council.

Transplan Pty Ltd & Mike Halliburton Associates (n/d). *Riverina Highlands rail trails feasibility study*. Report prepared for Riverina Shire.

Troped, P. J., Saunders, R. P., Pate, R. R., Reiningger, B., Ureda, J. R., & Thompson, S. J. (2001). Associations between Self-Reported and Objective Physical Environmental Factors and Use of a Community Rail-Trail. *Preventive Medicine*, 32(2), 191-200.

Turco, D., Gallagher, L., & Lee, K. (1998). Resident attitudes toward rail-trail development. *Parks and Recreation*, 33(2), 48-52.

Turco, Douglas, Gallagher, Laura, & Lee, Kerri. (1998). Resident attitudes toward rail-trail development. *Parks and Recreation*, 33(2), 48-52.

Urban Enterprise Pty Ltd (2006). Goulburn River High Country Rail Trail: Concept design and business plan. Report prepared for Mitchell Shire Council, Murrindindi Shire Council and Mansfield Shire Council. Nth Fitzroy: Urban Enterprise Pty Ltd.

US Department of the Interior (1995). *Economic impacts of protecting rivers, trails, and greenway corridors: A resource book*. N/L: National Park Service.

VanBlarcom, B. & Janmaat, J. (2013). Comparing the costs and health benefits of a proposed rail trail. *Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure & Events*, 5(2), 187-206.

Vuchic V. (1992). *Urban Public Transportation*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Willard, P. (2009). Rail trail tourism: a study of the expectations of rail trail cyclists visiting long distance rail trails in Victoria. Bundoora: La Trobe University.

Willard, P., & Beeton, S. (2012). Low impact Experiences: Developing Successful Rail Trail Tourism. *Tourism Planning & Development*, 9(1), 5 -13.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Cardinal Greenway Trail, Muncie, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Maple City Greenway, Goshen, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Monon Trail, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Pennsy Rail Trail, Greenfield, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Prairie Duneland Trail, Portage, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Rivergreenway Trail, Ft. Wayne, IN – Indiana Trails Study*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

Common Questions about and Benefits of Rail Trails

Wolter, S., Lindsey, G., Drew, J., Hurst, S., Galloway, S. (2001). *Summary Report Indiana Trails Study: A study of trails in 6 Indiana cities*. Bloomington: Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands and Indiana University.

York County Department of Parks and Recreation. (2002). *Heritage Rail Trail County Park 2001 user survey and economic impact analysis*. New Freedom: Interactive Marketing Solutions.

Zhang, C., Jennings, L., & Aultman-Hall, L. (2010). *Estimating tourism expenditures for the Burlington Waterfront Path and the Island Line Trail*. Burlington: Transportation Research Center.

ADDENDUM

“Visitors – mostly cyclists; some walkers and horseriders – now number in excess of 12,000 per year; and contribute more than \$12 million (NZ) to the Central Otago economy; creating business opportunities and energising small communities.”

Page 5 From Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

N.B. The Otago RT is only one of many NZ bike trails.

*NZ population is 4.4 million compared with **east coast Australia at 18.1 million** (NSW 7.6 million; Victoria 5.8 million; Qld 4.7 million).*

“The average number of user nights in Central Otago is 3.6, ...” (152 klm total length) Pg 25 Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

“78% of users are domestic (tourists)” and “Domestic riders estimated average age – 45; international riders – 37...”

Page 25 From Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

“... 3 key events (Rail Trail Duathlon, GoldRush and Cycling Otago) held over the Nov-Mar period”

Page 20 Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

2010/2011 User Survey found Per Person Per Trip expenditure is \$nz 582. Page 29 Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

“... funds come from a range of sources including ... the sale of Official Rail Trail garments such as t-shirts, polo shirts, caps and vests and the ever popular Passport ... “ also “ ... advertiser support of the Official Otago Central Rail Trail leaflet ... and the Official Otago Central Rail Trail website ... “ Page 34 Otago Central Rail Trail, Plan for the Future 2012-2022

In 2010, the estimated
total expenditure of cycle
tourists in Australia was
\$2.4 billion

(inc's domestic overnight, daytrip & international
overnight visitors who participated in cycling).

(from VICTORIA'S CYCLE TOURISM ACTION PLAN 2011 – 2015 Pg 3)

SGS (2010) study found Murray to Mountains Cycle Tourism
estimates between 50,000 – 60,000 annual users of Murray to
Mountains RT

Midpoint estimate = 55,000 annual users.

(SGS – North East Victoria Tourism 2010. Gap Analysis pg. 30)